

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	icant's or a	agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER A		Notification of Transmittal of International iminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416))
•	national a	oplication No. 08356	International filing date 29.07.2003	(day/month/year	Priority date (day/month/year) 07.08.2002	
1	national P BL1/164	atent Classification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC		
	icant STEC S.	A. et al				
1.	This int Authori	ernational preliminary ex y and is transmitted to the	amination report has be ne applicant according to	en prepared by Article 36.	y this International Preliminary Examining	
2.	This RE	PORT consists of a total	l of 5 sheets, including t	his cover shee	et.	
!	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).					
	These a	annexes consist of a tota	l of sheets.			
3.	This rep I	Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invertigation and explanations and explanations defects in the	of opinion with regard to intion It under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wations supporting such st	novelty, inventivith regard to neatement	ive step and industrial applicability lovelty, inventive step or industrial applicabilit	t y ;
Date of submission of the demand 01.03.2004				Date of comp	letion of this report	
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016				Authorized Of Vuillamy, V Telephone No	. gan ^{tista} n Prian	Je J. Campoo Pring Ch.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/08356

I. Basis of	f the rep	port
-------------	-----------	------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages				
	1-12	2	as originally filed			
	Clai					
	1-15	5	as originally filed			
2.	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of publ	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).			
3.	With inte	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.			
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.			
 furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. 			ntly to this Authority in written form.			
			ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.			
		- I also the state of the state				
		The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.			
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:			
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			
5.		This report has been been considered to g	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).			
		(Any replacement st report.)	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this			

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

PCT/EP 03/08356

Ш.	II. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
1.	The obvi	e questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
		the entire international application,					
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 14, 15 with respect to Industrial Applicability					
		because:					
the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 14, 15 relate to the following subject does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			ns Nos. 14, 15 relate to the following subject matter which mination (specify):				
		see separate sheet					
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so uncleat that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.					
2.	or a	n meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide a For amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:					
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.					
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.					
۷.	Rea cita	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	1. Statement						
	Nov	lovelty (N)		Claims Claims	1-15		
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-15		
	Indi	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-13		

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet



EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Non-establishment of opinion (Continuation) 11IV

For the assessment of the present claims 14 and 15 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Reasoned statement (Continuation) V/

Reference is made to the following documents:

D2: WO-A-0184961 D1: Int. Food Ingr., 1994 D4: JP-A-2000217512 US-A1-2002102330 D3: JP-A-59095860 D5: JP-A-08275728 D6: US-B1-6235331 D7: EP-A-0296117 D8: D10: US-A-4451488 D9: WO-A-0151088

- V.1/ The subject-matter of claims 1-15 is new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT: the prior art does not disclose the combination of encapsulated DHA or EPA with citrus flavour in cerealbased food products of Aw 0.2-0.4.
- V.2/ The present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT because the subject-matter of claims 1-15 does not involve an inventive step (Rule 65(1), (2) PCT).
 - Document D1 is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art and V2.1/ discloses (cf. pages 43, 44 and fig.4) the use of encapsulated DHA in cereal products (eg. low moisture products such as muesli, flakes, presumably having a low water activity) to avoid fishy taste and smell. A cereal bar containing encapsulated fish oil (rich in DHA) is also described in document D2 (cf. ex.5).

The subject-matter of claims 1, 10, 14 or 15 differs by the additional citrus flavor.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as providing an improved DHA-containing cereal product, with a further reduced fish taste and smell. The proposed solution is the addition of citrus flavors as taste/smell masking agents.

This solution cannot however be considered as involving an inventive step for the following reason: the use of citrus flavors for masking fish taste or smell is known from documents D4-D9. The skilled person would therefore regard it as a normal design to include this feature in order to solve the problem posed. The application fails to demonstrate any surprising effect (like a synergistic effect) provided by using both encapsulation and citrus flavor.



- Regarding the process of claim 12, your attention is also drawn to documents D3 V2.2/ or D10:
 - document D3 discloses (cf. par.61, 71, 72, 86, 93; example; claim 37) a cereal bar containing DHA and orange flavor, having a moisture content as low as zero, and prepared at temperatures below 80°C. D3 further discloses packaging such a cereal bar under modified atmosphere.
 - document D10 discloses (cf. claim 1; example I) a cereal bar with a water activity of 0.2-0.55 prepared at temperatures below 80°C.

The subject-matter of claim 12 therefore appears as an obvious and consequently non-inventive combination of features, by merely associating known features and not producing any non-obvious effect.

It is not yet apparent which feature of dependent claims 2-9, 11 or 13 could, in V.2.3/ combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to inventive step. Indeed, having regard to the claimed product or process, and the prior art known from D1-D10, it is considered that the person skilled in the art would regard the product and process of the present invention as an obvious alternative to those known.